

The Group of Symmetries of the shorter Moonshine Module

Gerald Höhn*

Abstract

It is shown that the automorphism group of the shorter Moonshine module constructed in [Höh95] (also called Baby Monster vertex operator superalgebra) is the direct product of the finite simple group known as the Baby Monster and the cyclic group of order 2.

1 Introduction

The *shorter Moonshine module* or Baby Monster vertex operator superalgebra denoted by $VB^\natural$ was constructed in [Höh95]. It is a vertex operator superalgebra of central charge $23\frac{1}{2}$ on which the cyclic group of order 2 times Fischer's Baby Monster $\mathbb{B}$ acts by automorphisms ([Höh95], Th. 2.4.7). Furthermore, it was shown l. c. that the complete automorphism group $\text{Aut}(VB^\natural)$ of $VB^\natural$ is finite and it was conjectured that $\text{Aut}(VB^\natural) = 2 \times \mathbb{B}$. The purpose of this note is to present a proof for this conjecture.

Theorem 1 *The automorphism group of the shorter Moonshine module $VB^\natural$ is the direct product of the Baby Monster $\mathbb{B}$ and a cyclic group of order 2.*

The Baby Monster was discovered by Bernd Fischer in 1973 (unpublished) and a computer proof of its existence and uniqueness was given by J. S. Leon and C. C. Sims in 1977 (for an announcement see [LS77]). An independent computer-free construction was given by R. Griess during his construction of the Monster (see [Gri82]). The Baby Monster is the second largest sporadic simple group and has the order $2^{41}3^{13}5^67^211 \cdot 13 \cdot 17 \cdot 19 \cdot 23 \cdot 31 \cdot 47$.

The paper is organized as follows. In the rest of the introduction we will recall the definition of $VB^\natural$ from [Höh95] and discuss the original proof of the corresponding theorem for the Moonshine module:

Theorem 2 ([Gri82, Tit84, Bor86, FLM88]) *The automorphism group of the Moonshine module $V^\natural$ is the Monster $\mathbb{M}$.*

*Mathematisches Institut, Universität Freiburg, Eckerstraße 1, 79104 Freiburg, Germany.
E-Mail: gerald@mathematik.uni-freiburg.de, <http://baby.mathematik.uni-freiburg.de/>

The second section contains the proof of Theorem 1 which is divided into two steps. In the first step, we show that $\mathbb{B}$ equals the automorphism group of the algebra $\mathfrak{B}'$, the subspace of the shorter Moonshine module $VB^\natural$ spanned by the vectors of conformal weight 2. This is done by identifying the automorphism group as a permutation group on the 13,571,955,000 elements of the set of $\{3, 4\}$ -transpositions of $\mathbb{B}$ and then applying the uniqueness result of Y. Segev (see [Seg91]) which characterizes the Baby Monster as the automorphism group of a graph having these $\{3, 4\}$ -transpositions as vertices. The second step is to show that the vertex operator subalgebra $VB_{(0)}^\natural$ of even vectors in $VB^\natural$ is generated as a vertex operator algebra by the conformal weight 2 component $\mathfrak{B}'$. For this, we use the theory of framed vertex operator algebras developed in [DGH98].

The Moonshine module $V^\natural$ is a vertex operator algebra of central charge 24 having as conformal character $\chi_{V^\natural} = q^{-1} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \dim V_n^\natural q^n$ the elliptic modular function $j - 744 = q^{-1} + 196884q + 21493760q^2 + \dots$. It was constructed in [FLM88] (see also [Bor86]) as a $\mathbf{Z}_2$ -orbifold of the lattice vertex operator algebra V_Λ associated to the Leech lattice Λ . The algebra induced on the conformal weight 2 part $V_2^\natural$ can be identified with the Griess algebra $\mathfrak{B}$ (see [FLM88], Prop. 10.3.6).

We outline the proof of Theorem 2: From the construction of $V^\natural$ one can find the subgroup $2_+^{1+24}.\text{Co}_1$ as well as an extra triality involution σ inside $\text{Aut}(V^\natural)$ and $\text{Aut}(\mathfrak{B})$ (see [FLM88], Th. 10.4.12 and Prop. 12.2.9). It was shown in [Gri82] that $\text{Aut}(\mathfrak{B})$ is finite and some theorems from finite group theory ([Smi79, GMS89]) were invoked to conclude that the subgroup $\langle 2_+^{1+24}.\text{Co}_1, \sigma \rangle$ of $\text{Aut}(\mathfrak{B})$ must be the finite simple group called the Monster. The finiteness proof for $\text{Aut}(\mathfrak{B})$ was simplified in [Con84, Tit84]. Furthermore, Tits showed in [Tit84] that the Monster is the full automorphism group of $\mathfrak{B}$. The proof of Theorem 2 is finished by showing that $V^\natural$ is generated as a vertex operator algebra by $\mathfrak{B}$ (see [FLM88], Th. 12.3.1 (g)). The rather involved construction of the extra automorphism σ was simplified by Miyamoto (see [Miy96], p. 547) who showed that one can use a transposition axis to construct an extra involution outside $2_+^{1+24}.\text{Co}_1$.

The Monster group has two conjugacy classes of involutions, denoted by 2A and 2B in the notation of the Atlas of finite groups (cf. [CCN⁺85]). For any involution in class 2A there exists an associated idempotent e in the Griess algebra $\mathfrak{B}$ called the transposition *axis* of that involution in [Con84]. (In [Con84], $64e$ was used, but we prefer to work with the idempotents.) The vertex operator subalgebra of $V^\natural$ generated by e is isomorphic to the simple Virasoro vertex operator algebra $L(0)$ of central charge $\frac{1}{2}$ and has the Virasoro element $2e$ (cf. [Höh95], Th. 4.1.2).

The shorter Moonshine module $VB^\natural$ is a vertex operator superalgebra of central charge $23\frac{1}{2}$ having the conformal character

$$\chi_{VB^\natural} = q^{-47/48} (1 + 4371q^{3/2} + 96256q^2 + 1143745q^{5/2} + \dots).$$

We recall its definition from [Höh95], Sec. 3.1 and 4.2: Let $L(0)$ be the vertex operator subalgebra of $V^\natural$ generated by a transposition axis e . The Virasoro vertex operator algebra $L(0)$ has three irreducible modules $L(0)$, $L(\frac{1}{2})$ and $L(\frac{1}{16})$ of conformal weights 0, $\frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{1}{16}$. Let $VB_{(0)}^\natural$ be the commutant of $L(0)$ in $V^\natural$. One has a decomposition

$$V^\natural = VB_{(0)}^\natural \otimes L(0) \oplus VB_{(1)}^\natural \otimes L(\tfrac{1}{2}) \oplus VB_{(2)}^\natural \otimes L(\tfrac{1}{16})$$

with $VB_{(0)}^\natural$ -modules $VB_{(0)}^\natural$, $VB_{(1)}^\natural$ and $VB_{(2)}^\natural$. The shorter Moonshine module is defined as $VB^\natural = VB_{(0)}^\natural \oplus VB_{(1)}^\natural$. A vertex operator superalgebra structure on $VB^\natural$ such that $VB_{(0)}^\natural$ resp. $VB_{(1)}^\natural$ is the even resp. odd part can be constructed from the vertex operator algebra structure of $V^\natural$ (see [Höh95], Th. 3.1.3). The centralizer of the class 2A involution associated to the idempotent e is $2.\mathbb{B}$, a 2-fold cover of the Baby Monster. There is an induced action of $2.\mathbb{B}$ on $VB^\natural$ respecting the vertex operator superalgebra structure such that the central involution acts trivial (see [Höh95], Th. 4.2.6). An extra automorphism of $VB^\natural$ commuting with the $\mathbb{B}$ -action is the involution which acts as the identity on $VB_{(0)}^\natural$ and by multiplication with -1 on $VB_{(1)}^\natural$.

A construction of $VB^\natural$ using the 2A-twisted module of $V^\natural$ was explained in [Yam02].

Remarks: The shorter Moonshine module should be considered as the vertex operator algebra analog of the shorter Leech lattice (which has $2.\text{Co}_2$ as automorphism group, where Co_2 is the second Conway group) and the shorter Golay code (which has $M_{22}:2$ as automorphism group, where M_{22} is a Mathieu group).

As a framed vertex operator superalgebra (cf. the proof of Prop. 6 below), $VB^\natural$ can be defined without reference to $V^\natural$.

The proof of Theorem 1 can be made independent of the use of $V^\natural$ and the Monster group, leading to a new construction of the Baby Monster: There is an easy visible subgroup $2_+^{1+22}.\text{Co}_2$ in $\text{Aut}(VB^\natural)$ and a Miyamoto involution [Miy96] leads to an extra automorphism. Since the automorphism group is finite, one can use a characterization theorem of the Baby Monster given by J. Bierbrauer ([Bie79], Th. B; this paper uses [Str76] which uses an unpublished result of B. Fischer, but [Str76] can be replaced by [Seg91]).

This suggests that the most natural way to *define the Baby Monster* is by using the symmetries of $VB^\natural$.

2 Proof of Theorem

Let V be a nonnegatively graded rational vertex operator algebra having the Virasoro vertex operator algebra $L(0)$ as subalgebra. It was shown in [Miy96], Sect. 4, that in this situation a nontrivial automorphism of V can often be

constructed: There is the decomposition $V = W(0) \oplus W(\frac{1}{2}) \oplus W(\frac{1}{16})$, where $W(h)$ is the subspace of V generated by all $L(0)$ -modules isomorphic to $L(h)$. If $W(\frac{1}{16}) \neq 0$, one defines an involution τ as the identity on $W(0) \oplus W(\frac{1}{16})$ and the multiplication with -1 on $W(\frac{1}{2})$. If $W(\frac{1}{16}) = 0$ but $W(\frac{1}{2}) \neq 0$, the involution τ is defined as the identity on $W(0)$ and the multiplication with -1 on $W(\frac{1}{2})$. The nontrivial fusion rules of the fusion algebra for $L(0)$ are $L(\frac{1}{2}) \times L(\frac{1}{2}) = L(0)$, $L(\frac{1}{2}) \times L(\frac{1}{16}) = L(\frac{1}{16})$ and $L(\frac{1}{16}) \times L(\frac{1}{16}) = L(0) + L(\frac{1}{2})$. This is the reason that τ is indeed an automorphism of V .

Lemma 3 *Every idempotent of $\mathfrak{B}$ generating a simple Virasoro vertex operator algebra of central charge $\frac{1}{2}$ is a transposition axis. In particular, the map which associates to such an idempotent its Miyamoto involution defines a bijection between the set of such idempotents and the class 2A involutions of the Monster.*

Proof. Let e be an idempotent of $\mathfrak{B}$ as in the Lemma, let $L(0) \subset V^\natural$ be the simple Virasoro vertex operator algebra of central charge $\frac{1}{2}$ it generates, and let $V^\natural = W(0) \oplus W(\frac{1}{2}) \oplus W(\frac{1}{16})$ be the decomposition of $V^\natural$ into $L(0)$ -modules as described above. The case $W(\frac{1}{2}) = W(\frac{1}{16}) = 0$ cannot occur since otherwise the algebra $\mathfrak{B}$ would be the direct sum of the one dimensional algebra $\mathbf{C} \cdot e$ and a complement, both having units. However, the trivial Monster representation has only multiplicity one in $\mathfrak{B}$. Therefore, the idempotent e defines an involution $\tau \in \text{Aut}(V^\natural) = \mathbb{M}$.

Let $\mathfrak{B} = X^+ \oplus X^-$ be the decomposition of $\mathfrak{B}$ into the $+1$ and -1 eigenspaces of τ and let C be the centralizer of τ in the Monster. The fusion rules for $L(0)$ imply that the algebra multiplication restricts to a C -equivariant map $\mu : X^+ \longrightarrow \text{End}(X^-)$. We claim that $\text{Ker } \mu = 0$. For, consider a C -irreducible component of $\text{Ker } \mu$. There are two cases:

(1) The involution τ is in class 2B and $C \cong 2_+^{1+24}.\text{Co}_1$. The irreducible C -components of X^+ have dimensions 1, 299 and 98280. By construction of the Griess algebra [Gri82], the 1-, 299- and 98280-dimensional component of X^+ act nontrivially on the 98304-dimensional component X^- . This proves the claim in the 2B case.

(2) The involution τ is in class 2A and $C \cong 2.\mathbb{B}$. The decomposition of the Griess algebra $\mathfrak{B}$ into irreducible components for the centralizer $2.\mathbb{B}$ is shown in table 1 (cf. [Con84, MN93]). The components of X^+ have dimensions 1, 1, 4371 and 96255. Again, it can easily be seen that the 4371- and the 96255-dimensional component act nontrivially on X^- . For example, one can use the Virasoro frame decomposition of $V^\natural$ given in [DGH98] and described in the proof of Proposition 6 below. This proves the claim in the 2A case.

Let $U = C \cdot e$ be the C -submodule of X^+ spanned by e . All elements of U are mapped by μ to $\mathbf{C} \cdot \text{id}_{X^-} \subset \text{End}(X^-)$, and $\mu(e) = \lambda \cdot \text{id}_{X^-}$ with $\lambda \neq 0$. It follows that U must be a trivial representation of C . In case (1), this implies that e must be the identity of $\mathfrak{B}$. Since the identity corresponds to the Virasoro element of central charge 24, this case is impossible. In case (2), we see that e is contained in the 2-dimensional trivial C -component $\mathbf{C} \oplus \mathbf{C}$, and this implies

Table 1: Decomposition of the Griess algebra $\mathfrak{B}$ under $2.\mathbb{B}$

$2.\mathbb{B}$ representations:	<u>1</u>	$\oplus$	<u>1</u>	$\oplus$	<u>96255</u>	$\oplus$	<u>4371</u>	$\oplus$	<u>96256</u>
Eigenvalues of $\mu(2e)$:	2		0		0		$\frac{1}{2}$		$\frac{1}{16}$
Eigenvalues of τ :	+1		+1		+1		+1		-1
Parts of $VB^{\natural}$:					$\overbrace{VB_2^{\natural} = \mathfrak{B}'}$		$VB_{3/2}^{\natural}$		

that e must be an axis since this component is spanned by e and the identity of $\mathfrak{B}$. $\square$

Denote with $\mathfrak{B}'$ the subspace of $VB^{\natural}$ spanned by the vectors of conformal weight 2. The vertex operator algebra structure on $VB_{(0)}^{\natural}$ induces an algebra structure on $\mathfrak{B}'$.

Lemma 4 *The map which associates to an idempotent of $\mathfrak{B}'$ generating a simple Virasoro vertex operator algebra of central charge $\frac{1}{2}$ its Miyamoto involution defines a bijection between the set of transposition axis contained in $\mathfrak{B}'$ and the class $2B$ involutions of the Baby Monster.*

Proof. Since, by construction, $\mathfrak{B}'$ is a subalgebra of $\mathfrak{B}$, every idempotent of $\mathfrak{B}'$ is also an idempotent of $\mathfrak{B}$. By Lemma 3, the idempotents which generate a simple Virasoro vertex operator algebra of central charge $\frac{1}{2}$ must be transposition axis.

The set of $2A$ involutions of $\mathbb{M}$ decomposes under the conjugation action of the centralizer $2.\mathbb{B}$ of a fixed $2A$ -involution τ into nine orbits [Nor82, GMS89]. These orbits can be labeled by the Monster conjugacy class of the product of an involution in an orbit with the fixed $2A$ -involution τ corresponding to an axis e . The orbit for the class $2B$ corresponds to the axis of $\mathfrak{B}$ contained in the $\mathfrak{B}'$ component of $\mathfrak{B}$ (see [MN93], Th. 5).

The stabilizer in $\mathbb{B}$ of an involution in the Monster class $2B$ orbit (the central element 2 in $2.\mathbb{B}$ acts trivial) is $2_+^{1+22}.\text{Co}_2$ (cf. [GMS89]) and the action of $\mathbb{B}$ on this orbit can be identified with the conjugation action of $\mathbb{B}$ on the class of $2B$ involutions of $\mathbb{B}$. The involution τ' of $VB_{(0)}^{\natural}$ associated to an idempotent e in $\mathfrak{B}' \subset \mathfrak{B}$ is the restriction to $VB_{(0)}^{\natural}$ of the associated involution τ of $V^{\natural}$. $\square$

Proposition 5 *The automorphism group of the algebra $\mathfrak{B}'$ is the Baby Monster.*

Proof. Let $G = \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{B}') \geq \mathbb{B}$. Since all the idempotents of $\mathfrak{B}'$ generating a central charge $\frac{1}{2}$ Virasoro algebra correspond to class $2B$ involutions of $\mathbb{B}$, the conjugation action of G leaves the set of $2B$ involutions invariant. Thus

$\mathbb{B}$ itself is invariant. By checking the traces of involutions of $\mathbb{B}$ acting on the 92555-dimensional irreducible representation of $\mathbb{B}$ (they are 4863, 2047, 103 and 255 for the involution in class $2A$, $2B$, $2C$ and $2D$, respectively), we see that the set of $2A$ involutions is kept invariant too. The Baby Monster is a $\{3, 4\}$ -transposition group for the class of $2A$ involutions. The set of $2A$ involutions decomposes under the action of the stabilizer $2.^2E_6(2):2$ of one $2A$ -involution into five orbits. These orbits are labeled by the Baby Monster conjugacy classes $1A$, $2B$, $2C$, $3A$ and $4A$, the product of an involution of the orbit with the fixed $2A$ -involution (cf. [Seg91]). The Baby Monster graph Γ is defined as the graph having the class $2A$ involutions as vertices and two vertices are joint by an edge if their product is in class $2B$. Because of the different traces of the class $2B$ and $2C$ involutions given above, we see that G acts by graph automorphisms on the Baby Monster graph Γ . However, Segev showed that $\mathbb{B} = \text{Aut}(\Gamma)$ ([Seg91], Th. 5.6). The action of G on the class $2A$ involutions is effective since this action induces the action on the class $2B$ involutions which in turn must be effective since the corresponding axis span $\mathfrak{B}'$. $\square$

Remark: In a similar way, we see that $\text{Aut}(\mathfrak{B}) = \mathbb{M}$ by using the analog result of [GMS89] for the Monster and the Monster graph. This argument is different from the one given by Tits [Tit84], who gave the first proof that the Monster is the whole automorphism group of the Griess algebra.

For proving that $VB_{(0)}^{\natural}$ is generated by the subspace $\mathfrak{B}'$, we recall some results about framed vertex operator algebras from [DGH98]. A subset $F = \{\omega_1, \dots, \omega_r\}$ of a simple vertex operator algebra V is called a *Virasoro frame* if the ω_i for $i = 1, \dots, r$ generate mutually commuting simple Virasoro vertex operator algebras $L(0)$ of central charge $1/2$ and $\omega_1 + \dots + \omega_r$ is the Virasoro element of V . Such a vertex operator algebra V is called a *framed vertex operator algebra*. Under $L(0)^{\otimes r}$, the vertex operator subalgebra spanned by the Virasoro frame, V decomposes as

$$V = \bigoplus_{h_1, \dots, h_r \in \{0, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{16}\}} n_{h_1, \dots, h_r} L(h_1, \dots, h_r),$$

into isotypical components of modules $L(h_1, \dots, h_r) = L(h_1) \otimes \dots \otimes L(h_r)$. Using this decomposition, one defines the two binary codes

$$\mathcal{C} = \{c \in \mathbf{F}_2^r \mid n_{c_1/2, \dots, c_r/2} \neq 0\} \text{ and } \mathcal{D} = \{d \in \mathbf{F}_2^r \mid \sum_{h_i = 1/16 \text{ if } d_i = 1,} n_{h_1, \dots, h_r} \neq 0\}.$$

It was shown in [DGH98] that both codes are linear, $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{C}^\perp$ ($\mathcal{C}^\perp$ denotes the code orthogonal to $\mathcal{C}$), $\mathcal{C}$ is even, and all weights of vectors in $\mathcal{D}$ are divisible by 8. For $c \in \mathcal{C}$, we denote the sum of all irreducible modules isomorphic to $L(c_1/2, \dots, c_r/2)$ by $V(c)$; for $I \in \mathcal{D}$, we denote the sum of all irreducible modules isomorphic to $L(h_1, \dots, h_r)$ such that $h_i = \frac{1}{16}$ if $I_i = 1$, $i = 1, \dots, r$, by V^I .

Proposition 6 *The even part $VB_{(0)}^{\natural}$ of the shorter Moonshine module $VB^{\natural}$ is generated by $\mathfrak{B}'$, the subspace of $VB^{\natural}$ spanned by the vectors of conformal weight 2.*

Proof. The Moonshine module $V^{\natural}$ is a framed vertex operator algebra of central charge 24. To any Virasoro frame in $V^{\natural}$ there are the associated binary codes $\mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{D}$ of length 48. For the construction of the shorter Moonshine module, one has to fix a simple central charge $\frac{1}{2}$ Virasoro vertex operator subalgebra generated by an axis. It follows from Lemma 3 that all such vertex operator subalgebras are equivalent, one can choose an arbitrary $L(0)$ of a given Virasoro frame in $V^{\natural}$ and the remaining 47 factors $L(0)$ define a Virasoro frame of in $VB_{(0)}^{\natural}$. The associated binary codes $\mathcal{C}'$ and $\mathcal{D}'$ for the framed vertex operator algebra $VB_{(0)}^{\natural}$ are obtained from the codes $\mathcal{C}$ resp. $\mathcal{D}$ by taking those vectors of $\mathcal{C}$ resp. $\mathcal{D}$ which have the value 0 at the coordinate corresponding to the first chosen $L(0)$ and then omitting this coordinate.

We fix now the Virasoro frame of $V^{\natural}$ studied in [DGH98], Sect. 5, Example II. The code $\mathcal{D}$ has in this case the generator matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1111111111111111 & 0000000000000000 & 0000000000000000 \\ 0000000000000000 & 1111111111111111 & 0000000000000000 \\ 0000000000000000 & 0000000000000000 & 1111111111111111 \\ 0000000011111111 & 0000000011111111 & 0000000011111111 \\ 0000111100001111 & 0000111100001111 & 0000111100001111 \\ 0011001100110011 & 0011001100110011 & 0011001100110011 \\ 0101010101010101 & 0101010101010101 & 0101010101010101 \end{pmatrix}$$

and $\mathcal{C}$ is the dual code of $\mathcal{D}$, i.e., $\mathcal{C} = \{c \in \mathbf{F}_2^{48} \mid \sum_{i=1}^{48} c_i \cdot d_i = 0 \text{ for all } d \in \mathcal{D}\}$ (Theorem 5.8 of [DGH98]). In particular, $\mathcal{C}$ is even. It was shown in [DGH98] that $\mathcal{C}$ has minimal weight 4.

We claim that the codewords of weight 4 in $\mathcal{C}$ span the code: The 48 coordinates of $\mathcal{C}$ are naturally partitioned into three blocks of 16 coordinates by the first three rows of the above matrix. Let c be a codeword of $\mathcal{C}$ of weight 6 or larger. By the pigeon hole principle, we can find two blocks such that the support of c has at least four coordinates at the 32 coordinates of these two blocks. The codewords of $\mathcal{C}$ having the value 0 at the remaining third block can be identified with the extended Hamming code $\mathcal{H}_{32}$ of length 32, which has the property that for any set of three of its 32 coordinates there is a codeword v of weight 4 in $\mathcal{H}_{32} \subset \mathcal{C}$ containing this set of coordinates in its support. By choosing the three coordinates from the support of c contained in the two selected blocks, we see that $c + v$ is a codeword of $\mathcal{C}$ of smaller weight than c . This implies the claim.

The same claim is true for the code $\mathcal{C}'$: A codeword c of $\mathcal{C}$ with value 0 at a fixed coordinate is the sum of codewords in $\mathcal{C}$ of weight 4 such that in the sum an even number of the codewords have the value 1 at the fixed coordinate. The sum d of two different codewords having the value 1 at the fixed coordinate

is a codeword of weight 4 or 6. In the first case, we are done. Otherwise, let $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, 6\}$ be the number of coordinates of the support of d which are in the same block as the fixed coordinate used in the definition of $\mathcal{C}'$. For $i \leq 3$, the support of d contains $6 - i \geq 3$ coordinates in the two other blocks, so we can choose a weight 4 vector v as above with support in these two blocks. For $i \geq 4$, observe that the codewords of $\mathcal{C}$ having the value 0 at the two other block can be identified with the extended Hamming code $\mathcal{H}_{16}$ of length 16. Choose in two different ways 3 coordinates out of a set of 4 coordinates contained in the $i \geq 4$ coordinates which form the intersection of the support of d with the block of the distinguished coordinate. By the Steiner system property of the weight 4 codewords of $\mathcal{H}_{16}$, there are two codewords v and v' of weight 4 containing these two sets of 3 coordinates in their support. Not both of them can have the value 1 at our distinguished coordinate since otherwise their sum would have weight 2. So we are done again.

It is obvious that $\mathcal{D}$ and $\mathcal{D}'$ are both generated by their codewords of weight 16 and 24.

In [DGH98], Prop. 2.5. (5) and (6), it was shown that for a framed vertex operator algebra V the module $V(c)$ generated by the isotypical component corresponding to a codeword c in the associated binary code C is irreducible and the span of the images of the maps from $V(c) \times V(c')$ to $V(c + c')$, $c, c' \in C$, defined by the components of the vertex operator generate $V(c + c')$. Since the Virasoro frame is contained in V_2 and the conformal weight of a Virasoro highest weight vector in $V(c)$ for $c \in C$ is half the weight of c , it follows from the above discussion that the vertex operator subalgebra $V^0 = \bigoplus_{c \in C} V(c)$ for V equal to $V^\natural$ resp. $VB_{(0)}^\natural$ is generated by $\mathfrak{B}$ resp. $\mathfrak{B}'$.

Similar, using [DGH98], Prop. 2.5. (1) and (2), one has: $V = \bigoplus_{I \in D} V^I$, the V^I are irreducible V^0 -modules, and the span of the images of the maps from $V^I \times V^{I'}$ to $V^{I+I'}$, $I, I' \in D$, defined by the components of the vertex operator generate $V^{I+I'}$. By direct inspection of the Virasoro decomposition of the moonshine module given explicitly in [DGH98], one finds for any codeword I of weight 16 or 24 in $\mathcal{D}$ a Virasoro highest weight vector in $(V^\natural)_2^I$. For example, there is the Virasoro highest weight module $L(1/2, 0^{15}, 1/2, 0^{15}, (1/16)^{16})$. (In more detail, one can use the decomposition polynomial given in [DGH98], Cor. 5.9 and observe that the situation is symmetric for all codewords in $\mathcal{D}$ of fixed weight.) For $VB_{(0)}^\natural$, one observes that for all $I \in \mathcal{D}'$ the Virasoro highest weight module can be chosen to have conformal weight 0 at the fixed coordinate.

Putting the above arguments together, we have proven that $V^\natural$ resp. $VB_{(0)}^\natural$ is generated by $\mathfrak{B}$ resp. $\mathfrak{B}'$. $\square$

Remark: The proof of Prop. 6 also shows that the Moonshine module $V^\natural$ is generated by the Griess algebra $\mathfrak{B}$, a result first proven in [FLM88], Prop. 12.3.1 (g) by using similar ideas.

Theorem 1 follows now from Prop. 5 and Prop. 6 as explained in [Höh95], Th. 4.2.7: Any automorphism g of $VB^\natural$ must fix the even and odd components $VB_{(0)}^\natural$ and $VB_{(1)}^\natural$, i.e., can be written in the form $g = g_0 \oplus g_1$ and one has $g_0 \in \text{Aut}(VB_{(0)}^\natural) = \mathbb{B}$. Let $g' = g'_0 \oplus g'_1$ be another automorphism with $g_0 = g'_0$. Since $VB_{(1)}^\natural$ is an irreducible $VB_{(0)}^\natural$ -module, ([Höh95], Th. 4.2.2) there is a scalar s such that $g' = g_0 \oplus s \cdot g_1$. Since $VB^\natural$ is a vertex operator superalgebra, one gets $s = \pm 1$. $\square$

Remark: By taking the remarks following the proofs of Prop. 5 and Prop. 6 together, we obtain a partially new proof of Theorem 2.

References

- [Bie79] Jürgen Bierbrauer, *A Characterization of the "Baby Monster" F_2 , Including a note on ${}^2E_6(2)$* , Journ. of Algebra **56** (1979), 384–395.
- [Bor86] R. E. Borcherds, *Vertex algebras, Kac-Moody algebras, and the Monster*, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **83** (1986), 3068–3071.
- [CCN⁺85] J. H. Conway, R. T. Curtis, S. P. Norton, R. A. Parker, and R. A. Wilson, *ATLAS of finite groups*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1985.
- [Con84] J. H. Conway, *A simple construction of the Fischer-Griess Monster group*, Invent. Math. **79** (1984), 513–540.
- [DGH98] Chonying Dong, Robert Griess, and Gerald Höhn, *Framed Vertex Operator Algebras, Codes and the Moonshine Module*, Comm. Math. Phys. **193** (1998), 407–448, q-alg/9707008.
- [FLM88] Igor Frenkel, James Lepowsky, and Arne Meurman, *Vertex Operator Algebras and the Monster*, Academic Press, San Diego, 1988.
- [GMS89] Robert L. Griess, Ulrich Meierfrankenfeld, and Yoav Segev, *A uniqueness proof for the Monster*, Annals of Mathematics **130** (1989), 567–602.
- [Gri82] R. L. Griess, *The friendly giant*, Invent. Math. **69** (1982), 1–102.
- [Höh95] Gerald Höhn, *Selbstduale Vertexoperatorsuperalgebren und das Babymonster*, Ph.D. thesis, Universität Bonn, 1995, see: Bonner Mathematische Schriften **286**.
- [LS77] Jeffrey S. Leon and Charles C. Sims, *The Existence and Uniqueness of a simple group generated by $\{3, 4\}$ -Transpositions*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **83** (1977), 1039–1040.
- [Miy96] Masahiko Miyamoto, *Griess Algebras and Conformal Vectors in Vertex Operator Algebras*, Journal of Algebra **179** (1996), 523–548.
- [MN93] Werner Meyer and Wolfram Neutsch, *Associative Subalgebras of the Griess Algebra*, Journal of Algebra **158** (1993), 1–17.
- [Nor82] S. P. Norton, *The uniqueness of the Monster*, Contemp. Math. Vol. 45, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 1982, pp. 271–285.
- [Seg91] Yoav Segev, *On the Uniqueness of Fischer's Baby Monster*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **62** (1991), 509–536.

- [Smi79] Stephen D. Smith, *Large Extraspecial Subgroups of Widths 4 and 6*, Journ. of Algebra **58** (1979), 251–281.
- [Str76] G. Stroth, *A Characterization of Fischer’s Sporadic Simple Group of the Order $2^{41} \cdot 2^{13} \cdot 5^6 \cdot 7^2 \cdot 11 \cdot 13 \cdot 17 \cdot 19 \cdot 23 \cdot 31 \cdot 47$* , Journ. of Algebra **40** (1976), 499–531.
- [Tit84] J. Tits, *On R. Griess’ “Friendly giant”*, Invent. Math. **78** (1984), 491–499.
- [Yam02] Hiroshi Yamauchi, *On $\mathbf{Z}_2$ -twisted representation of vertex operator superalgebras and the Ising model SVOA*, preprint, math.QA/0203086 (2002).